

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
	10/074,814 02/13/2002		Mitchell J. Hubert	004027.00019	8084			
	26799	7590	05/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	IP LEGAL			WEBB, GREGORY E				
TYCO FIRE & SECURITY SERVICES ONE TOWN CENTER ROAD					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	BOCA RATON, FL 33486				1751			
					DATE MAILED: 05/08/2000	DATE MAILED: 05/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/074,814	HUBERT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory E. Webb	1751		

	Gregory E. Webb	1751						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 10 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•						
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonr this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, we places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the								
time periods: a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date								
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	•							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,							
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19-21.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A do-a NOT also a the continution to							
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>			ice because:					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)						
	Jhn	Gregory E. Webb Primary Examiner						

Art Unit: 1751

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's response does not provide any concrete evidence as to the novelty of their invention. The applicant states the prior art fails to teach "slow drain" but has only provided arguments as support. As no evidence has been provided prior rejections are maintained.